

Want Legal Authority To Permit The Use Of Canadian Designation

Toronto.—A resolution urging that authority be given to permit the legal use of the designation Canadian before the taking of the first electoral census, was submitted at the thirty-fifth annual meeting of the National Council of the United States, held in Toronto from May 20 to June 5.

The resolution, which was passed by the Ottawa local, is in part: "Whereas the under-mentioned states have given to the United States the right to use the word Canadian in the matter of vital statistics and previous has the right assigned to the President subject. Therefore be it resolved, that the National Council of the United States request that the provincial governments be petitioned to extend the right to use the word Canadian to those entitled to do so."

"This year the work of the standing committee has been directed to the extension planned for the foundation fund in one of the subjects to be discussed."

Alberta Rejects Offer Of Railway Amount Offered For Provincial Rights Is Not Satisfactory

Calgary.—In an interview in Calgary, Tuesday, J. H. MacCallum, president of the Canadian National Railway, announced the government has declined to reject the second joint offer of the Canadian National Railway for the provincial rights for the Canadian National Railway system. "This position is taken because the government has not been able to obtain a second and better offer for the provincial Alberta and the Canadian National Railway. The province will not sell the system without the consent of the federal government."

Want Censor Committee

Toronto.—The Japanese cabinet has decided to establish a censorship committee to consider the advisability of appointing a committee to censor books, magazines and other literature against which requisitions are made by the police. It was decided that the committee be selected by the cabinet and that the committee be made to appoint a committee here.

Note Is Approved

Tokyo.—The Japanese cabinet has decided to establish a censorship committee to consider the advisability of appointing a committee to censor books, magazines and other literature against which requisitions are made by the police. It was decided that the committee be selected by the cabinet and that the committee be made to appoint a committee here.

Many Dead In Mine Disaster

Mathias, Pa.—The ill-fated mine disaster at Mathias, Pa., has resulted in the loss of many lives. The bodies of the victims have been recovered and the cause of the disaster is being investigated.

Japs Return Prisoners

Sakao.—The Japanese government has announced that it has returned the prisoners of war captured by the Japanese forces in the recent conflict.

Shrine Destroyed By Fire

Properly.—A fire has destroyed the shrine of the French revolution in the city of Properly, France. The cause of the fire is being investigated.

Memorial To General Booth

London.—The foundation stone of the memorial to General Booth, founder of the Salvation Army, has been laid in London. The memorial is being erected in the city of London.

Cost Of K.C. Equipment

Ottawa.—The Canadian National Railway has announced that the cost of the equipment for the railway is \$100,000,000.

Appointed Judge

Ottawa.—W. H. Lantry, Esq., C.M.G., has been appointed judge of the court of appeals in the province of Ontario.

Endorses Peace Treaty

Kellogg Plan Would Make Armies Obsolete Says Ramsey

London.—The great power treaty, suggested by the president of the United States, has been endorsed by the British cabinet.

The treaty, which was signed by the United States, Great Britain, France, Italy, Japan, and the Soviet Union, is a landmark in the history of international relations.

Rioting In Austrian Tyrol

Italian Flag Is Trampled By Tyrolese

Vienna.—Rioting broke out in the Tyrol region of Austria, where the Italian flag was trampled by the Tyrolese.

The rioting was caused by the Italian government's decision to annex the Tyrol region to Italy.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

The Italian government's decision was met with strong opposition in the Tyrol region.

CHIEF JUSTICE OF ONTARIO

Denies Dealing In Immigration Permits

Former Ontario Provincial Secretary Charge Is Denied

Ottawa.—Hon. E. J. McCarthy, former Ontario Provincial Secretary, has denied the charge that he was dealing in immigration permits.

The charge was made by a member of the Ontario legislature.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never dealt in immigration permits.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Denies Dealing In Immigration Permits

Former Ontario Provincial Secretary Charge Is Denied

Ottawa.—Hon. E. J. McCarthy, former Ontario Provincial Secretary, has denied the charge that he was dealing in immigration permits.

The charge was made by a member of the Ontario legislature.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never dealt in immigration permits.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

He stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Mr. McCarthy stated that he had never been involved in any such transaction.

Canada Invited To Sign Renunciation Of War Treaty Proposed By U.S.

Washington, D.C.—Expressing satisfaction that the British Declaration had been so favorably received, the U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation of war which the United States had signed.

The U.S. government proposed in April 1915, a renunciation

PRODUCT OF GENERAL MOTORS OF CANADA, LIMITED